1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) United States Attorney BRIAN STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12 13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, V. SHANNON BLAYLOCK, aka ARLANDYS RICHARDSON, aka "DADDY RICH", TAWAKONI SEATON, aka TONI, and LATOSHA GARDNER, Defendant. Defendant.		
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	On November 26, 2007, the United States and co-defendant Seaton appeared before the Court and stipulated that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from November 26, 2007 through December 12, 2007. The parties represented that granting the continuance was necessary for effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, to afford counsel time to prepare, produce, and //		
	STIDIL ATION AND IDDODOSEDLODDED EVOLUDING TIME OF 0454 DIH		

1	review discovery and due to counsel for the government's unavailability during this period. See		
2	18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
3			
4	SO STIPULATED:		
5			
6		JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney	
7		Office States Attorney	
8	DATED: April 29, 2008	/s/ Denise Barton	
9	DATED. April 27, 2000	DENISE MARIE BARTON Assistant United States Attorney	
10		Assistant Office States Attorney	
11	DATED: April 29, 2008	/s/	
12	5711E5.71pm 27, 2000	KENNETH WINE Attorney for TAWAKONI SEATON	
13		Thomey for TTWTHOTH BETTTOTH	
14			
15	As the Court found on November 26, 2007 and for the reasons stated above, the Court		
16	finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public		
17	and the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act		
18	calculations November 26, 2007 through December 12, 2007 for effective preparation and		
19	continuity of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested		
20	continuance would deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into		
21	account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C		
22	§3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
23			
24	SO ORDERED.		
25		R 2	
26	DATED:April 29, 2008 HON	ORABLE, BERNARD ZIMMERMAN	
27	Unite	ed States Wagistrate Court Judge	
28			